Attachment 11

NOISE PREDICTION, MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

NOISE PREDICTION, MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

1. Noise and Ground Vibration

1.a Performance Standards

There are no specific environmental performance standards for noise in 40 CFR 264.601. For UDEQ and OSHA requirements, see Section 1.b.

1.b. Required Programs

1.b(1) Noise

The Utah Joint Subcommittee on OB/OD, comprised of members from the Utah Solid and Hazardous Waste Control Board and the Utah Air Quality Board, identified noise and ground vibration as an area of concern. The subcommittee requires evidence that OB/OD operations will not generate noise or ground vibration at levels that will have an adverse effect on nearby receptors.

When interpreting noise level standards, it is necessary to define the type of noise measurement reported. Single (discrete) noise events are generally expressed in decibels (dB), weighted to consider specific noise aspects. The most common weighting scheme used to measure impulsive noise is the peak sound level (dBP), which applies a linear weighting network. This weighs the sound energy contained in all frequencies equally. The C-weighting network (dBC) may also be used to express impulsive noise. This network emphasizes the lower frequency portion of the noise spectrum, thereby addressing the additional annoyance caused by low frequency vibration of structures.

The most common weighting scheme for measuring continuous noise is the A-weighting frequency network. It de-emphasizes the lower frequency portion of the noise spectrum to

approximate the human ear's response to the noise. The sound pressure levels measured using the A-weighting network are expressed as dBA.

The Utah Joint Subcommittee on OB/OD stipulated in the April 1996 Draft "Permit Writers Guidance for OB/OD Treatment Facilities" that noise levels must be below 140 dB for impulsive (OD) noise and below 85 dB for continuous (OB) noise.

At the same time, OSHA guidance from 40 CFR 1910.95 stipulates protection against the occupational effects of noise exposure. It requires the implementation of administrative or engineering controls to reduce noise levels when the noise exposure to employees exceeds those levels listed in Table 1.

1.b(2) Ground Vibration

Vibrations resulting from blast operations travel from the source to the receiver both through the ground (ground-borne) and air (airborne). Vibrations traveling at sufficient velocity may cause buildings and structures to shake and may even cause structural damage.

There are currently no guidelines or criteria for assessing annoyance related to single noise events. Only recently has equipment become available that allows subjects to register their annoyance if single events are experienced during their routine activities. Additionally, the amount of annoyance also depends on many factors, such as the characteristics of the noise, including the intensity and spectral characteristics, duration, repetitions, abruptness of onset or cessation, and the noise climate or background noise against which a particular noise event occurs. Social surveys show other factors influence annoyance, including:

- The degree of interference of the noise with activity;
- Previous experience of the community with the particular noise;
- _ The time of day during which the intruding noise occurs;
- _ Fear of personal danger associated with the activities of the noise sources;

- _ Socioeconomic status and educational level of the community; and
- _ The extent the people believe that the noise output could be controlled.

Table 1
Permissible Noise Exposures

Duration per Day (hours)	Sound Level (dBA Slow Response)	
8	90	
6	92	
4	95	
3	97	
2	100	
1 ½	102	
1	105	
1/2	110	
1/4 or less	115	

Guidelines developed by the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) (Pater 1976) were used for evaluating the complaint potential from impulsive (OD) noise originating in the UTTR-North TTU. These guidelines are based on over 10 years of experience and represent the best compromise between cost, efficiency of range operations, and good community relations. The guidelines are shown in Table 2

Table 2
Impulse Noise Guidelines

Predicted Sound Level	Risk of Complaints	
(dBP)		
< 115	Low	
115 - 130	Moderate	
130 – 140	High; with possible complaints of damage	
>140	High risk of physiological and structural damage claims; threshold of permanent physiological damage to unprotected human ears	

Humans typically perceive ground-borne vibrations as low as 0.08 to 0.20 in./sec (Argonne National Laboratory 1993). A summary of typical vibration levels and corresponding responses is shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Response to Ground Vibration

Ground Vibration (in./sec)	Response		
	<u>Human</u>		
0.08	Perceptible		
0.20	Noticeable		
0.38	Unpleasant		
0.80	Disturbing		
1.30	Objectionable		
	Structure		
5.40	Minor damage (cracking plaster)		
7.60	Major damage		

Studies of vibration caused by coal mine detonations indicate that ground-borne vibration dominates structural shaking when the distance from the source to the receptor divided by the

square root of the NEW is less than 50 (Northwestern University 1981). At values greater than 50, airborne vibration dominates. In the case of the UTTR-North TTU, where the nearest off-site receptor (buildings at Oasis) is located approximately 5 miles (26,400 ft) away, it would take an OD event of over 278,000 lb NEW—nearly double the maximum OD limit of 149,900 lb NEW—in order for ground-borne vibrations to be dominant. Since the 149,900 lb NEW upper limit was put in place in order to protect people and structures at Oasis from the effects of airborne blast, its overpressure, and fragmentation, there should be no concern for ground-borne blast effects at Oasis. For a more complete discussion of Q-D criteria and the selection of this upper OD limit, see Section D.

1.c. Site-Specific Conditions

The UTTR-North, in cooperation with the NSWC Dahlgren Division, has implemented an effective noise prediction, mitigation, and management program at the UTTR. This program combines computer modeling with public relations to reduce the impact of noise generated by TTU operations on potential off-site receptors while preserving mission readiness.

The program used to model and manage the noise impact from TTU detonation events was initially implemented in 1994 as part of the U.S. Navy program to treat Poseidon rocket motors at the UTTR-North TTU. The Poseidon program requires that the potential noise impact to nearby off-site receptors be evaluated prior to each OD event. These potential receptors include all off-site (outside the boundaries of the UTTR) population centers from North Ogden to Grantsville, Utah. A "go" or "no-go" determination is made based on the results of sound propagation modeling done before each treatment event. A peak noise level of 120 dBP or greater at any off-site receptor location results in a "no-go" determination. The success of the Poseidon program is demonstrated by the fact that only one noise complaint was received out of 82 Poseidon treatment operations conducted from 1994 to 1996.

1.c.(1) Program Rationale

The current UTTR noise prediction, mitigation, and management program was developed based on the successes of the Poseidon program. The Poseidon detonations are currently the largest detonations conducted on a routine basis at the TTU. Two rocket motors are detonated simultaneously with a combined NEW of 31,720 lb and a TNT-equivalent weight of 40,000 lb¹. The maximum peak noise level measured at any off-site receptor location during a Poseidon detonation event is 125 dB.

The NEW for all other routine detonation operations ranges from 1 to 20,760 lb, with an average NEW of 5,600 lb (based on operational records for 1992 through 1996). Although these smaller events may at times be audible, they do not generate excessive noise levels at off-site receptor locations nor do they generate noise complaints.

Non-routine operations (emergency or mission essential) may include detonations of up to the range capacity of 149,900 lb NEW. Although no measured data are currently available for detonations of this size, a simple calculation can be used to predict the dB level for such an event. The sound pressure level of an acoustic signal is defined as:

SPL (dB) =
$$10 \log (P_1/P_0)^2$$

where:

 P_1 = the sound pressure of the acoustic signal above atmospheric pressure P_0 = a reference pressure, standardized at 20 micropascals.

The NEW is calculated by summing the actual weight of each individual explosive compound contained within the item, and the TNT-equivalent weight is calculated by summing the TNT-equivalent weight of each individual explosive compound. The TNT-equivalent weight relates the sensitivity of any explosive compound to that of TNT.

Since dBs are logarithmic units, sound levels cannot be added by ordinary arithmetic procedures. The addition of sound levels must be performed on an "energy basis" as shown in the example below:

SPL total =
$$10 \log [(P_1/P_0)^2 + (P_2/P_0)^2],$$

where
$$P_1=P_2$$

SPL total = 10 log 2 $(P_1/P_0)^2$
= 10 log 2 + 10 log $(P_1/P_0)^2$
= 3 + 10 log $(P_1/P_0)^2$

This example shows that a doubling of sound energy results in a 3 dB increase in noise level.

In the case of the UTTR-North TTU, worst-case off-site peak noise levels resulting from the detonation of two Poseidon rocket motors (31,720 lb NEW) were measured at 125 dBP. The maximum OD treatment limit of 149,900 lb NEW is approximately 5 times that of the routine Poseidon detonations. Using the calculation presented above,

SPL total =
$$10 \log \left[(P_1/P_0)^2 + (P_2/P_0)^2 + (P_3/P_0)^2 + (P_4/P_0)^2 + (P_5/P_0)^2 \right]$$

where
$$P_1=P_2=P_3=P_4=P_5$$

SPL total = $10 \log 5 (P_1/P_0)^2$
= $10 \log 5 + 10 \log (P_1/P_0)^2$
= $7 + 10 \log (P_1/P_0)^2$
= $7 + 125 dB$
= $132 dB$

Therefore, the estimated maximum peak noise level generated at any off-site receptor location resulting from a detonation of 149,900 lb NEW is 132 dBP.

1.c.(2) Program Components

The components that make up the UTTR noise prediction, mitigation, and management program are described below.

1.c.(2)i. Meteorological Data Collection

The UTTR weather office is located in Building 40085 of the Oasis compound. The mission of this office is to collect and process meteorological data to support UTTR mission requirements. Support functions specific to the operations at the UTTR-North TTU include a forecast of the general weather conditions predicted 24 hours prior to upcoming operations and a balloon sounding prior to all detonations greater than 10,000 lb NEW. Meteorological data collected or calculated include temperature, wind speed, wind direction, humidity, clearing index, and barometric pressure. Weather balloon data are collected for every 500 ft of vertical travel up to 31,000 ft. The meteorological data are required to determine whether conditions are favorable for OB/OD and for input into predictive sound propagation models.

Studies have found that variations of temperature and wind velocity with altitude can cause a noise event to be inaudible at one time and highly annoying at another time. This phenomenon is referred to as atmospheric refraction. Atmospheric refraction is the bending of sound rays caused by the variation with altitude of the speed of sound. This variation is a function of temperature and wind velocity. This bending of the sound rays can concentrate acoustic energy, causing significantly greater sound levels. Conversely, the sound waves can also be bent upward so that the acoustic energy of the event is dissipated by the atmosphere, resulting in a lower sound level on the ground.

A simplified technique has been developed by the Explosives Research Group (ERG) to predict atmospheric refraction conditions (University of Utah 1958). The ERG technique summarizes the results of this research into a series of "good" and "bad" firing times. These results are listed in Table 4. This technique provides a good first approximation of the effects of the existing weather conditions on noise propagation. Utilizing this technique can reduce the possibility of complaints.

Table 4
Good and Bad Firing Conditions

Good Conditions	Bad Conditions	
Clear skies with billow cloud	Days of steady winds of 5-10 mph with gusts of greater velocities (above 20	
formations, especially during	mph) in direction of residences close by	
warm periods of the year	Clear days on which "layering" of smoke or fog are observed	
A rising barometer immediately	Cold, hazy, or foggy mornings	
following a storm	Days following a day of large extremes of temperature (about 20°C) between	
	and night	
	Generally high barometer readings with low temperatures	

Section D specifies the general meteorological conditions that must exist prior to initiating OB/OD treatment operations at the UTTR-North TTU.

1.c.(2)ii Sound Propagation Modeling

Computer sound propagation modeling is a fast, efficient, and relatively inexpensive means to quantify and predict the noise environment over a large area. Noise modeling is conducted by the UTTR weather office prior to each detonation event greater than 10,000 lb NEW.

Two sound propagation models are used at the UTTR to predict the noise level impact at off-site receptor locations:

Sound Intensity Propagation System (SIPS), N.H. Gholson, 1973

SIPS is a semi-empirical ray tracing model developed by the NSWC. The model divides the atmosphere into a number of horizontal layers. The sound velocity gradient in each layer is assumed to be linear and is obtained from weather data. Based on Fermat's Principle, sound rays are refracted through the air layers according to Snell's Law. Focal points are identified when the sound rays converge on the earth's surface. On the other hand, quiet zones are indicated when the sound rays are

refracted aloft. At focal points, 15 dB is added to the semi-empirical mean peak sound pressure level, or a focus multiplication factor is calculated by the principle of energy conservation along a ray tube. SIPS can model terrain effects, accounting for the blockage of blast waves by hills and the skipping of sound rays over flat water surfaces.

Blast Operational Overpressure Model (BOOM), Captain D.A. Douglas, 1987 BOOM is an empirically fitted model that was developed based on studies conducted at the AF Weapons Laboratory at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico. The overpressure data used to develop the model were obtained from actual measurements for OD events up to 1,000 lb NEW. The model utilizes a data-fitted equation that calculates the mean peak pressure level as a function of charge weight, range, ambient pressure, and a weather parameter, b. The variable b identifies the location of the maximum vertical sound speed gradient that determines the focusing effect. The maximum peak pressure level is obtained by adding 5 dB to the mean value. No terrain effects are modeled by BOOM.

1.c.(2)iii. Model Inputs

These sound propagation models require both pre-defined as well as user-defined data to accurately predict the blast impact.

Pre-defined data include a grid map to define the areal extent of the model (a grid has been established for the "Great Salt Lake and Vicinity" map), location of the source (TTU), and elevation of the blast (0 meters for surface blasts). The TNT equivalent weight for the specific weapon system as well as topographical considerations are also predefined in SIPS.

User-defined data required to be input into each model prior to the model run include temperature, wind speed, and wind direction. Additionally, BOOM requires input of the TNT equivalent weight for the item detonated. The TNT equivalent weight is calculated by summing

the TNT blast equivalent for each individual explosive compound contained within the weapon system.

1.c.(2)iv. Model Output

The output generated by SIPS is in the form of a grid noise map covering an area of 1 degree and 15 minutes longitude and 1 degree and 15 minutes latitude with 15 minute grid squares. Peak noise level values are calculated for each grid intersection to the nearest 1 dB. The grid printout produced by SIPS shows an actual dB value calculated for each grid intersection.

The output generated by BOOM is in the form of both a table providing range and bearing and a grid noise map covering the same area as described above. The BOOM output is shown in kilopascals rather than dB.

1.c.(2)v. Verification Monitoring

On-site noise monitoring provides real-time, objective documentation of actual noise levels that can be used to validate the results of computer modeling. A comprehensive monitoring study utilizing hand-held noise monitors (Bruel and Kaehr Model 2236) was conducted at the UTTR from July to August 1996. The results of verification monitoring have shown that the sound propagation models in use at the UTTR provide accurate prediction of sound focusing at off-site population centers. A report detailing the study, "Sound Studies of OB/OD Activities at the UTTR," is available through NSWC and OO-ALC/EM.

1.c.(3) Program Implementation

The above described program components are implemented as follows:

- A forecast of the general weather conditions is predicted 24 hours prior to expected operations at the TTU.
- A balloon sounding is conducted prior to all TTU OD operations of 10,000 lb

- NEW or greater to determine whether meteorological conditions are appropriate to conduct operations (e.g., wind speed, clearing index).
- Predictive computer sound propagation modeling is conducted prior to any detonation event greater than 10,000 lb NEW. Wind direction, wind speed, and other critical weather data are input into two separate predictive noise models, SIPS and BOOM. The computer models predict peak noise levels at pre-identified off-site receptor locations from North Ogden to Grantsville, Utah. Both computer models are run simultaneously and results are interpreted from Table E-16.

1.d. Complaint Management

Specific procedures are in place to effectively manage public complaints. The four key functions that comprise the complaint management procedures and how they pertain to TTU operations are described below

Table 6
Go/No-Go Decision Matrix

Peak Noise	
Level (dB)	Action ^a
< 120	Proceed with detonation as scheduled.
120 - 130	Proceed with critical operations. Postpone non-critical operations if feasible.
130 - 140	Only mission essential and emergency operations may proceed, and then only after OO-ALC/EM and the OO-ALC/PA have been notified. OO-ALC/PA ^b and OO-ALC/EM implement procedures to communicate to the public and manage potential noise complaints.
> 140	Postpone all operations. Emergency operations may proceed only by order of the Commander, 75 Range Squadron ^c .

Results of computer noise modeling are maintained on file at the UTTR by the 75 Range Squadron Director of Operations. OO-ALC/EM provides annual reports to the UDEQ describing modeling results.

Figure 1 AFMC Form 3514, Environmental/Sonic Boom/Noise Complaint

ENVIRONMENTAL/SONIC BOOM/NOISE COMPLAINT					
DATE RECEIVED	TIME RECEIVED				
BY WHOM	l				
CALLER/LETTER WRITER		TELEPHONE NUMBER			
ADDRESS					
COMPLAINT (include details, location, damage, exact time(s), aircraft signed, etc.)					
RESPONSE (By whom, time, method)					
SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS/ADDITIONAL REMARKS					

Pre-planned detonation events that will likely result in excessive noise levels will be coordinated through OO-ALC/PA at least two weeks in advance to ensure proper public notification and complaint management.

Detonations that may potentially result in noise levels exceeding 139 dB at any off-site receptor location must be approved by the Commander, 75 Range Squadron. The Commander determines a "go" or "no go" based on critical factors ranging from public safety to national security.

1.d.(1) Complaint Receipt

Complaint receipt includes screening, logging, and classifying information from the complainant. Complaints are screened from general communications flow and directed to the appropriate office, logged to monitor the status of individual complaints, and classified according to the source category of the complaint.

OO-ALC/PA acts as a funnel for the receipt of complaints and claims from the public. All complaints regarding noise (and ground vibration, if any) are directed to OO-ALC/EM. EM personnel record information eceived from the complainant on Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) Form 3514, Environmental/Sonic Boom/Noise Complaint (see Figure E-2). Complaints are then logged and complaint forms are filed according to the source category of the complaint (aircraft noise, blast noise, etc.).

1.d.(2) Complaint Response

Complaint response includes identifying the issues that define the complainant's problem, identifying the specific source of the complaint, formulating a response, sending out the final response to all interested parties, logging out the complaint, as well as storing and maintaining the complaint file.

OO-ALC/EM personnel contact the complainant to obtain needed detail, investigate the complaint to determine the likely source, and formulate the response. The response states the results of the complaint investigation and details the measures to be implemented to mitigate further occurrences. EM is responsible for logging out the complaint and maintaining the

complaint file.

1.d.(3) Management

The management function includes internal follow-up, statistical evaluations of aggregate data, and interpretation of the statistical outputs to identify policy changes or mitigative measures. EM has overall responsibility for managing complaints related to TTU operations.

1.d(4) Public Awareness

OO-ALC/PA is responsible for the public awareness function of the complaint management program. Public awareness involves providing information to the public regarding complaint procedures, ongoing efforts to reduce noise, as well as informing the public of upcoming unusual or exceptional noise events. The OO-ALC/PA phone number is published in community relations articles and pamphlets and announced during local television and radio programming when necessary.

1.f. Ground Vibration

Due to the isolated location of the UTTR-North TTU in relation to off-site receptors, the potential impact of ground vibration is considered to be insignificant [see Section E6-b(2)]. Additionally, no public complaints or damage claims have been found to be attributable to ground vibration resulting from TTU operations (Freeman 1997). Therefore, no program to measure or mitigate ground vibration is warranted.

1.g. Assessment of Potential Health Risks

1.g.(1) Noise

Studies have shown that extensive noise exposure to humans has adverse physical impacts, with hearing impairment the most prominent effect. Damage to hearing is common to those who experience extended noise levels of 100 dB and greater. The threshold for pain occurs at 140 dB. Other direct physiological effects that may occur due to extensive noise exposure include increased cholesterol and blood sugar, dilation of blood vessels and pupils, stomach acid, and kidney effects (Samuels 1981). Noise is also found to heighten fear, anxiety, and irritation, especially in the elderly, sick, and hypersensitive populations (Jansen 1985). Non-physiological effects of noise exposure include annoyance, speech and sleep interference, and interruption of daily activities.

Low frequency sound can be directly absorbed through the surface of the body and can excite sense organs other than the ears. The effect is similar to the effect of mechanical vibration on the body, causing the internal organs to vibrate and disturbing the nervous system, digestion, and sight. Very intense low frequency noise (0–20 Hz) can cause a sensation of vibration, disequilibrium, and motion sickness.

The UTTR noise prediction, mitigation, and management program described in Section E-6c(1)(b) was developed to ensure that off-site human receptors are not exposed to noise levels that result in unacceptable risk to human health. Additionally, the USAF Hearing Conservation program ensures that hearing protection is worn by TTU operators and others working in the vicinity of the TTU and that their hearing is tested regularly. When these programs are implemented properly, no impact to human health is expected.

1.g.(2) Ground Vibration

No potential health impacts are expected from ground vibrations resulting from TTU operations.